

TRACTABLE EXTENSIONS OF THE DESCRIPTION LOGIC \mathcal{EL} WITH NUMERICAL DATATYPES

Despoina Magka, Yevgeny Kazakov and Ian Horrocks

Oxford University Computing Laboratory

May 5, 2010





OUTLINE

1 \mathcal{EL} AND DATATYPES

2 REASONING IN $\mathcal{EL}^\perp(\mathcal{D})$

3 CONCLUSION



\mathcal{EL} FAMILY OF DESCRIPTION LOGICS

- **Description logics**: logical foundation for W3C ontology languages such as OWL and OWL 2



\mathcal{EL} FAMILY OF DESCRIPTION LOGICS

- **Description logics**: logical foundation for W3C ontology languages such as OWL and OWL 2
- \mathcal{EL} family of DLs [Baader et al., IJCAI 2003, 2005]:

EXAMPLE

YoungParent \equiv Human \sqcap \exists hasChild.Human \sqcap \exists hasAge.[< 20]



\mathcal{EL} FAMILY OF DESCRIPTION LOGICS

- **Description logics**: logical foundation for W3C ontology languages such as OWL and OWL 2
- \mathcal{EL} family of DLs [Baader et al., IJCAI 2003, 2005]:

EXAMPLE

YoungParent \equiv Human $\sqcap \exists$ hasChild.Human $\sqcap \exists$ hasAge.[< 20]

- **Conjunction**



\mathcal{EL} FAMILY OF DESCRIPTION LOGICS

- **Description logics**: logical foundation for W3C ontology languages such as OWL and OWL 2
- \mathcal{EL} family of DLs [Baader et al., IJCAI 2003, 2005]:

EXAMPLE

YoungParent \equiv Human \sqcap \exists hasChild.Human \sqcap \exists hasAge.[< 20]

- Conjunction
- **Existential restriction**



\mathcal{EL} FAMILY OF DESCRIPTION LOGICS

- **Description logics**: logical foundation for W3C ontology languages such as OWL and OWL 2
- \mathcal{EL} family of DLs [Baader et al., IJCAI 2003, 2005]:

EXAMPLE

YoungParent \equiv Human \sqcap \exists hasChild.Human \sqcap \exists hasAge.[< 20]

- Conjunction
- Existential restriction
- ...
- **Datatypes**



\mathcal{EL} FAMILY OF DESCRIPTION LOGICS

- **Description logics**: logical foundation for W3C ontology languages such as OWL and OWL 2
- \mathcal{EL} family of DLs [Baader et al., IJCAI 2003, 2005]:

EXAMPLE

$\text{YoungParent} \equiv \text{Human} \sqcap \exists \text{hasChild.Human} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge}.[< 20]$

- Conjunction
 - Existential restriction
 - ...
 - Datatypes
- **Polynomial-time** reasoning

 \mathcal{EL}^\perp WITH NUMERICAL DATATYPES

■ Concept constructors

	Syntax	Semantics
Concept name	C	$C(x)$
Top	\top	\top
Bottom	\perp	\perp
Conjunction	$C \sqcap D$	$C(x) \wedge D(x)$
Existential restriction	$\exists R.C$	$\exists y : R(x, y) \wedge C(y)$
Datatype restriction	$\exists F.range$	$\exists v : F(x, v) \wedge v \in range$

 \mathcal{EL}^\perp WITH NUMERICAL DATATYPES

■ Concept constructors

	Syntax	Semantics
Concept name	C	$C(x)$
Top	\top	\top
Bottom	\perp	\perp
Conjunction	$C \sqcap D$	$C(x) \wedge D(x)$
Existential restriction	$\exists R.C$	$\exists y : R(x, y) \wedge C(y)$
Datatype restriction	$\exists F.range$	$\exists v : F(x, v) \wedge v \in range$

$range = \langle n \rangle \mid \leq n \mid \rangle n \mid \geq n \mid = n \subseteq \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$

 \mathcal{EL}^\perp WITH NUMERICAL DATATYPES

■ Concept constructors

	Syntax	Semantics
Concept name	C	$C(x)$
Top	\top	\top
Bottom	\perp	\perp
Conjunction	$C \sqcap D$	$C(x) \wedge D(x)$
Existential restriction	$\exists R.C$	$\exists y : R(x, y) \wedge C(y)$
Datatype restriction	$\exists F.range$	$\exists v : F(x, v) \wedge v \in range$

$range = [< n] \mid [\leq n] \mid [> n] \mid [\geq n] \mid [= n] \subseteq \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$

■ Axioms

Concept inclusion $C \sqsubseteq D$ $\forall x : C(x) \rightarrow D(x)$

 \mathcal{EL}^\perp WITH NUMERICAL DATATYPES

■ Concept constructors

	Syntax	Semantics
Concept name	C	$C(x)$
Top	\top	\top
Bottom	\perp	\perp
Conjunction	$C \sqcap D$	$C(x) \wedge D(x)$
Existential restriction	$\exists R.C$	$\exists y : R(x, y) \wedge C(y)$
Datatype restriction	$\exists F.range$	$\exists v : F(x, v) \wedge v \in range$

$range = [< n] \mid [\leq n] \mid [> n] \mid [\geq n] \mid [= n] \subseteq \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}$

■ Axioms

Concept inclusion $C \sqsubseteq D$ $\forall x : C(x) \rightarrow D(x)$

■ Reasoning problems

Classification: compute all $A \sqsubseteq B$ such that $\mathcal{O} \models A \sqsubseteq B$



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**
- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**
- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:
 $<, =$



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**
- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:

 $<, =$

EXAMPLE

$$\begin{array}{l} A \sqsubseteq \exists F.[< 2] \\ \quad \exists F.[= 1] \sqsubseteq B \\ \quad \exists F.[= 0] \sqsubseteq C \\ \hline A \sqsubseteq B \sqcup C \end{array}$$



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**
- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:

$<, =$ $>, =$ $<, >$ $\leq, =$ $\geq, =$ \leq, \geq



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**

- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:

$<, =$ $>, =$ $<, >$ $\leq, =$ $\geq, =$ \leq, \geq

- Ensure polynomiality by **restrictions** on datatype use
[Baader et al., IJCAI 2005]



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**

- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:



- Ensure polynomiality by **restrictions** on datatype use
[Baader et al., IJCAI 2005]



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**

- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:



- Ensure polynomiality by **restrictions** on datatype use
[Baader et al., IJCAI 2005]

- EL Profile of OWL 2 admits only **equality**



ADMISSIBLE DATATYPES

- \mathcal{EL}^\perp with datatypes is **EXPTIME-complete**

- **Disjunction** is expressible using datatypes:



- Ensure polynomiality by **restrictions** on datatype use
[Baader et al., IJCAI 2005]

- EL Profile of OWL 2 admits only **equality**

- Absence of inequalities **reduces** the utility of OWL 2 EL



MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

Panadol $\sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains} . (\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet} . [= 500])$

Patient $\sqcap \exists \text{hasAge} . [< 6] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription} .$

$\exists \text{contains} . (\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet} . [> 250]) \sqsubseteq \perp$



MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

Panadol $\sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains} . (\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet} . [= 500])$

Patient $\sqcap \exists \text{hasAge} . [< 6] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription} .$

$\exists \text{contains} . (\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet} . [> 250]) \sqsubseteq \perp$

- Can Panadol be prescribed to a 3-year-old patient?



MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

$$\text{Panadol} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains}.(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet}. [= 500])$$
$$\text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge}. [< 6] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription}.$$
$$\exists \text{contains}.(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet}. [> 250]) \sqsubseteq \perp$$
$$X \sqsubseteq \text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge}. [= 3] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription}. \text{Panadol}$$

- Can Panadol be prescribed to a 3-year-old patient?
- Is X satisfiable?



MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

Panadol $\sqsubseteq \exists$ contains.(Paracetamol $\sqcap \exists$ mgPerTablet.[= 500])

Patient $\sqcap \exists$ hasAge.[< 6] $\sqcap \exists$ hasPrescription.

\exists contains.(Paracetamol $\sqcap \exists$ mgPerTablet.[> 250]) $\sqsubseteq \perp$

X \sqsubseteq Patient $\sqcap \exists$ hasAge.[= 3] $\sqcap \exists$ hasPrescription.Panadol

- Can Panadol be prescribed to a 3-year-old patient?
- Is X satisfiable?
- Equality is used to **state a fact** such as the content of a drug and the age of a patient



MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

$$\text{Panadol} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains}.(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet}.[= 500])$$
$$\text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge}.[\underline{< 6}] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription}.$$
$$\exists \text{contains}.(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet}.[\underline{> 250}]) \sqsubseteq \perp$$
$$X \sqsubseteq \text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge}.[= 3] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription}.\text{Panadol}$$

- Can Panadol be prescribed to a 3-year-old patient?
- Is X satisfiable?
- Equality is used to **state a fact** such as the content of a drug and the age of a patient
- Inequalities are used to **trigger a rule**



MOTIVATING EXAMPLE

EXAMPLE

$$\text{Panadol} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains}.(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet}.[= 500])$$
$$\text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge}.[< 6] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription}.$$
$$\exists \text{contains}.(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet}.[> 250]) \sqsubseteq \perp$$
$$X \sqsubseteq \text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge}.[= 3] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription}.\text{Panadol}$$

- Can Panadol be prescribed to a 3-year-old patient?
- Is X satisfiable?
- Equality is used to **state a fact** such as the content of a drug and the age of a patient
- Inequalities are used to **trigger a rule**
- The EL Profile of OWL 2 **does not allow** inequality relations



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- Relax the restrictions on datatype use



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- Relax the restrictions on datatype use
- Key idea
 - Distinguish **positive** (RHS of axiom) and **negative** (LHS of axiom) occurrences of datatypes



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Relax** the restrictions on datatype use
- **Key idea**
 - Distinguish **positive** (RHS of axiom) and **negative** (LHS of axiom) occurrences of datatypes
- **Main results**
 - **Full classification** of tractable cases for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- Relax the restrictions on datatype use
- Key idea
 - Distinguish **positive** (RHS of axiom) and **negative** (LHS of axiom) occurrences of datatypes
- Main results
 - Full classification of tractable cases for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}
 - Polynomial, sound and complete reasoning procedure for extensions of \mathcal{EL}^\perp with “safe” numerical datatypes



OUTLINE

1 \mathcal{EL} AND DATATYPES

2 REASONING IN $\mathcal{EL}^\perp(\mathcal{D})$

3 CONCLUSION



ALGORITHM STAGES

1 Standard **normalization** of the axioms

Normal forms

NF1	$A \sqsubseteq B$
NF2	$A_1 \sqcap A_2 \sqsubseteq B$
NF3	$A \sqsubseteq \exists R.B$
NF4	$\exists R.B \sqsubseteq A$
NF5	$A \sqsubseteq \exists F.range$
NF6	$\exists F.range \sqsubseteq A$



ALGORITHM STAGES

- 1 Standard **normalization** of the axioms

Normal forms

NF1	$A \sqsubseteq B$
NF2	$A_1 \sqcap A_2 \sqsubseteq B$
NF3	$A \sqsubseteq \exists R.B$
NF4	$\exists R.B \sqsubseteq A$
NF5	$A \sqsubseteq \exists F.range$
NF6	$\exists F.range \sqsubseteq A$

- 2 **Saturation** of the axioms under inference rules, such as:

$$\frac{A \sqsubseteq B \quad A \sqsubseteq C}{A \sqsubseteq D} \quad B \sqcap C \sqsubseteq D \in \mathcal{O}$$



REASONING RULES (PART I)

Rules from \mathcal{EL}^\perp

$$\text{IR1} \quad \frac{}{A \sqsubseteq A} \quad \text{IR2} \quad \frac{}{A \sqsubseteq \top} \quad \text{CR1} \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq B}{A \sqsubseteq C} \quad B \sqsubseteq C \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\text{CR2} \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq B \quad A \sqsubseteq C}{A \sqsubseteq D} \quad B \sqcap C \sqsubseteq D \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\text{CR3} \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq B}{A \sqsubseteq \exists R.C} \quad B \sqsubseteq \exists R.C \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\text{CR4} \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq \exists R.B \quad B \sqsubseteq C}{A \sqsubseteq D} \quad \exists R.C \sqsubseteq D \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\text{CR5} \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq \exists R.B \quad B \sqsubseteq \perp}{A \sqsubseteq \perp}$$



REASONING RULES (PART II)

New rules for datatypes

$$\frac{A \sqsubseteq \exists F.[< m]}{A \sqsubseteq B} \quad \exists F.[< n] \sqsubseteq B \in \mathcal{O}, m \leq n$$



REASONING RULES (PART II)

New rules for datatypes

$$\text{ID1} \quad \frac{}{A \sqsubseteq \perp} \quad A \sqsubseteq \exists F.[< 0] \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\text{CD1} \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq B}{A \sqsubseteq \exists F.range} \quad B \sqsubseteq \exists F.range \in \mathcal{O}$$

$$\text{CD2}(<, <) \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq \exists F.[< m]}{A \sqsubseteq B} \quad \exists F.[< n] \sqsubseteq B \in \mathcal{O}, m \leq n$$

$$\text{CD2}(=, <) \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq \exists F.[= m]}{A \sqsubseteq B} \quad \exists F.[< n] \sqsubseteq B \in \mathcal{O}, m < n$$

$$\text{CD2}(=, =) \quad \frac{A \sqsubseteq \exists F.[= m]}{A \sqsubseteq B} \quad \exists F.[= n] \sqsubseteq B \in \mathcal{O}, m = n \quad \dots$$

THE $\mathcal{EL}^\perp(\mathcal{D})$ ALGORITHM

- The algorithm is:
 - **sound**: all rules derive logical consequences of premises

THE $\mathcal{EL}^\perp(\mathcal{D})$ ALGORITHM

- The algorithm is:
 - **sound**: all rules derive logical consequences of premises
 - **polynomial** as only polynomially many axioms are possible

THE $\mathcal{EL}^\perp(\mathcal{D})$ ALGORITHM

- The algorithm is:
 - **sound**: all rules derive logical consequences of premises
 - **polynomial** as only polynomially many axioms are possible
 - **not complete** in general

THE $\mathcal{EL}^\perp(\mathcal{D})$ ALGORITHM

- The algorithm is:
 - **sound**: all rules derive logical consequences of premises
 - **polynomial** as only polynomially many axioms are possible
 - **not complete** in general
 - **complete** under certain **restrictions** on datatypes

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{N}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$

EXAMPLE

$\text{Panadol} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains.}(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet.}[= 500])$

$\text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge.}[< 6] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription.}$

$\exists \text{contains.}(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet.}[> 250]) \sqsubseteq \perp$

$X \sqsubseteq \text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge.}[= 3] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription.Panadol}$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{N}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$

EXAMPLE

Panadol \sqsubseteq \exists contains.(Paracetamol \sqcap \exists mgPerTablet.[= 500])

Patient \sqcap \exists hasAge.[< 6] \sqcap \exists hasPrescription.

\exists contains.(Paracetamol \sqcap \exists mgPerTablet.[> 250]) \sqsubseteq \perp

X \sqsubseteq Patient \sqcap \exists hasAge.[= 3] \sqcap \exists hasPrescription.Panadol

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{N}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$

EXAMPLE

Panadol $\sqsubseteq \exists$ contains.(Paracetamol $\sqcap \exists$ mgPerTablet. $[= 500]$)

Patient $\sqcap \exists$ hasAge. $[< 6]$ $\sqcap \exists$ hasPrescription.

\exists contains.(Paracetamol $\sqcap \exists$ mgPerTablet. $[> 250]$) $\sqsubseteq \perp$

X \sqsubseteq Patient $\sqcap \exists$ hasAge. $[= 3]$ $\sqcap \exists$ hasPrescription.Panadol

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{N}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{N}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$

- All restrictions are **maximal**:

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{N}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=, <$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$

- All restrictions are **maximal**:

EXAMPLE

$$\begin{array}{l}
 A \sqsubseteq \exists F. \underline{< 2} \\
 \exists F. \underline{= 1} \sqsubseteq B \\
 \exists F. \underline{= 0} \sqsubseteq C \\
 \hline
 A \sqsubseteq B \sqcup C
 \end{array}$$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Z}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$=$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, =$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Z}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$=$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, =$

- **Additional** datatype restrictions: integers do not have a minimal element such as **0**.

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Z}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$=$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, =$

- **Additional** datatype restrictions: integers do not have a minimal element such as **0**.

EXAMPLE ($\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{N}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\sqsubseteq \exists F. [< 2] \\
 &\quad \exists F. [= 1] \sqsubseteq B \\
 &\quad \exists F. [= 0] \sqsubseteq C
 \end{aligned}$$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Z}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$=$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, =$

- **Additional** datatype restrictions: integers do not have a minimal element such as **0**.

EXAMPLE ($\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{N}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\sqsubseteq \exists F. [< 2] \\
 &\quad \exists F. [= 1] \sqsubseteq B \\
 &\quad \exists F. [= 0] \sqsubseteq C \\
 \hline
 A &\sqsubseteq B \sqcup C
 \end{aligned}$$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Z}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$=$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$>, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, =$

- **Additional** datatype restrictions: integers do not have a minimal element such as **0**.

EXAMPLE ($\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{Z}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\sqsubseteq \exists F. [< 2] \\
 &\quad \exists F. [= 1] \sqsubseteq B \\
 &\quad \exists F. [= 0] \sqsubseteq C \\
 \hline
 A &\not\sqsubseteq B \sqcup C
 \end{aligned}$$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Q} AND \mathbb{R}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$\leq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$\geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$<, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, =$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Q} AND \mathbb{R}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$\leq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$\geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$<, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, =$

- Between every two different numbers there exists a third one:

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Q} AND \mathbb{R}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$\leq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$\geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$<, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, =$

- Between every two different numbers there exists a third one:

EXAMPLE ($\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{Z}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &\sqsubseteq \exists F. [\leq 3] \\
 &\exists F. [= 2] \sqsubseteq B \\
 &\exists F. [\leq 2] \sqsubseteq C
 \end{aligned}$$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Q} AND \mathbb{R}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$\leq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$\geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$<, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, =$

- Between every two different numbers there exists a third one:

EXAMPLE ($\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{Z}$)

$$\begin{array}{l}
 A \sqsubseteq \exists F. [\leq 3] \\
 \exists F. [= 2] \sqsubseteq B \\
 \exists F. [\leq 2] \sqsubseteq C \\
 \hline
 A \sqsubseteq B \sqcup C
 \end{array}$$

RESTRICTIONS FOR \mathbb{Q} AND \mathbb{R}

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$\leq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$\geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$
$<, \leq, =$	$<, >, \geq, =$
$>, \geq, =$	$<, \leq, >, =$

- Between every two different numbers there exists a third one:

EXAMPLE ($\mathcal{D}=\mathbb{R}$)

$$\begin{array}{l}
 A \sqsubseteq \exists F. [\leq 3] \\
 \exists F. [= 2] \sqsubseteq B \\
 \exists F. [\leq 2] \sqsubseteq C \\
 \hline
 A \not\sqsubseteq B \sqcup C
 \end{array}$$



OUTLINE

- 1 \mathcal{EL} AND DATATYPES
- 2 REASONING IN $\mathcal{EL}^\perp(\mathcal{D})$
- 3 CONCLUSION



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Polynomial**, **sound** and **complete** reasoning procedure for extensions of \mathcal{EL}^\perp with “safe” numerical datatypes



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Polynomial, sound and complete** reasoning procedure for extensions of \mathcal{EL}^\perp with “safe” numerical datatypes
- **Full classification** of positive and negative relations for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Polynomial, sound and complete** reasoning procedure for extensions of \mathcal{EL}^\perp with “safe” numerical datatypes
- **Full classification** of positive and negative relations for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}
- **Common restriction** for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} :

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Polynomial**, **sound** and **complete** reasoning procedure for extensions of \mathcal{EL}^\perp with “safe” numerical datatypes
- **Full classification** of positive and negative relations for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}
- **Common restriction** for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} :

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$

EXAMPLE

Panadol $\sqsubseteq \exists$ contains.(Paracetamol $\sqcap \exists$ mgPerTablet. $[= 500]$)

Patient $\sqcap \exists$ hasAge. $[< 6]$ $\sqcap \exists$ hasPrescription.
 \exists contains.(Paracetamol $\sqcap \exists$ mgPerTablet. $[> 250]$) $\sqsubseteq \perp$

X \sqsubseteq Patient $\sqcap \exists$ hasAge. $[= 3]$ $\sqcap \exists$ hasPrescription.Panadol



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Polynomial**, **sound** and **complete** reasoning procedure for extensions of \mathcal{EL}^\perp with “safe” numerical datatypes
- **Full classification** of positive and negative relations for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}
- **Common restriction** for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} :

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$

EXAMPLE

$\text{Panadol} \sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains.} (\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet.} [= 500])$

$\text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge.} [< 6] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription.}$

$\exists \text{contains.} (\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet.} [> 250]) \sqsubseteq \perp$

$X \sqsubseteq \text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge.} [< 3] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription. Panadol}$



RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Polynomial**, **sound** and **complete** reasoning procedure for extensions of \mathcal{EL}^\perp with “safe” numerical datatypes
- **Full classification** of positive and negative relations for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R}
- **Common restriction** for \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} :

Negative relations	Positive relations
$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$	$=$
$<, \leq$	$<, \leq, >, \geq, =$

EXAMPLE

Panadol $\sqsubseteq \exists \text{contains.}(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet.}[\underline{= 500}])$

Patient $\sqcap \exists \text{hasAge.}[\lt 6] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription.}$

$\exists \text{contains.}(\text{Paracetamol} \sqcap \exists \text{mgPerTablet.}[\underline{> 250}]) \sqsubseteq \perp$

$X \sqsubseteq \text{Patient} \sqcap \exists \text{hasAge.}[\lt 3] \sqcap \exists \text{hasPrescription.Panadol}$



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions
 - functional data properties



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions
 - functional data properties
 - nominals



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions
 - functional data properties
 - nominals
 - domain and range restrictions



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions
 - functional data properties
 - nominals
 - domain and range restrictions
 - Horn *SHIQ* [Kazakov, IJCAI, 2009]



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions
 - functional data properties
 - nominals
 - domain and range restrictions
 - Horn *SHIQ* [Kazakov, IJCAI, 2009]

- More fine-grained analysis by also considering which data properties are used with relations



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions
 - functional data properties
 - nominals
 - domain and range restrictions
 - Horn *SHIQ* [Kazakov, IJCAI, 2009]

- More fine-grained analysis by also considering which data properties are used with relations

- **Thank you for your attention! Questions?**



FUTURE WORK

- **Extend** the reasoning algorithm:
 - complex role inclusions
 - functional data properties
 - nominals
 - domain and range restrictions
 - Horn *SHIQ* [Kazakov, IJCAI, 2009]

- More fine-grained analysis by also considering which data properties are used with relations

- **Thank you for your attention! Questions?**