Substitutes Markets with Budget Constraints: Solving for Competitive and Optimal Prices

Simon Finster¹, Paul W. Goldberg², and Edwin Lock³ (\boxtimes)

¹ CREST-ENSAE, 5 Avenue Henry Le Chatelier, 91120 Palaiseau, France simon.finster@ensae.fr

² Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QD, UK

paul.goldberg@cs.ox.ac.uk

³ Nuffield College, University of Oxford, New Road, Oxford OX1 1NF, UK edwin.lock@economics.ox.ac.uk

Abstract. Markets with multiple divisible goods have been studied widely from the perspective of revenue and welfare. In general, it is well known that envy-free, revenue-maximal outcomes can result in lower welfare than competitive equilibrium. In our market, buyers have quasilinear utilities with linear substitutes valuations and budget constraints, and the seller must find prices and an envy-free allocation that maximise revenue or welfare. This mirrors markets such as ad auctions and auctions for the exchange of financial assets.

We prove that the unique competitive equilibrium prices are also envyfree revenue-maximal. This coincidence of maximal revenue and welfare is surprising and breaks down even when buyers have piecewise-linear valuations. We present a novel characterisation of the set of 'feasible' prices (no excess demand), a non-convex set that we show to exhibit the lower semi-lattice structure. We demonstrate that elementwise-minimal prices maximise revenue and welfare. To prove welfare optimality, we adapt an existing algorithm for Fisher markets. Our procedure scales down any non-minimal feasible prices, maintaining feasibility, thus providing an algorithm for finding this unique price vector.

Our market is also called a 'quasi-Fisher' market. In contrast to standard Fisher markets, buyers spend nothing if prices are too high, making revenue maximisation an interesting objective for the seller. The market is also equivalent to an 'arctic product-mix auction' with zero seller costs, an auction developed to exchange financial assets with limited supply in Iceland.

We thank Paul Klemperer, Zaifu Yang, and anonymous reviewers for insightful discussions and comments. During the work on the final version of the paper, Goldberg and Lock were supported by a JP Morgan faculty fellowship. Work on the final version of this project was also supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1928930 and by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation under grant G-2021-16778, while Finster was in residence at the Simons Laufer Mathematical Sciences Institute (formerly MSRI) in Berkeley, California, during the Fall 2023 semester.

[©] The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2024 J. Garg et al. (Eds.): WINE 2023, LNCS 14413, pp. 687–688, 2024. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48974-7

A full version of this paper can be found at https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.03692.

 $\label{eq:comparison} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Keywords:} \ \text{Envy-freeness} \cdot \text{Revenue maximisation} \cdot \text{Competitive} \\ \text{equilibrium} \cdot \text{Budget constraints} \cdot \text{Fisher market} \cdot \text{Product-mix auction} \end{array}$